

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-19 are pending. Claim 19 is newly added. Claims 1-18 have been amended.

Claim 4 is objected to for a minor informality. Claim 4 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, and withdrawal of this objection is requested.

Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

These claims have been amended to overcome this rejection.

Claims 1-8 and 10-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelsinger, U.S. Patent No. 5,892,511, in view of Oran, U.S. Patent No. 5,757,371. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites a processor controlled to "display, when it is determined that a first predetermined input is performed within a selection area corresponding to a window displayed on said first display area or a forefront window out of the plurality of windows displayed in the overlapping manner on said first display area by said detector, a window corresponding to the selection area that said detector detects as the first predetermined input on said second display area." The prior art fails to teach or suggest this feature.

Gelsinger relates to a method of improving how a window is selected in a typical Windows type of program. Gelsinger's Fig. 1 shows a plurality of windows and a task bar. The Examiner asserts that the area where the windows are displayed corresponds to the claimed first display area and that the task bar corresponds to the claimed second display area. However, Gelsinger does not disclose displaying a window corresponding to the selection area on the second display area. The task bar does not display windows. Rather, the task bar displays icons that represent a window. Thus, Gelsinger fails to teach that which the Examiner asserts. Oran fails to overcome Gelsinger's deficiencies.

Kazuyoshi TORIYAMA
Appl. No. 10/593,828
November 16, 2010

The other pending claims are allowable for the same reason claim 1 is allowable. The remaining claims are allowable at least due to their respective dependencies.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) a being unpatentable over Gelsinger in view Oran, and further in view of Shields, U.S. Patent No. 5,910,802. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Shields fails to overcome the above-noted deficiencies of Gelsinger and Oran. Thus, claim 9 is allowable in view of the foregoing remarks.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this application, or deem that any formalities need to be addressed prior to allowance, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /Deborah S. Gladstein/
Deborah S. Gladstein
Reg. No. 43,636

DSG:nd
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100